
Full Council

Please note: this meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Mayor will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the Principal Support Officer (Committee Clerk) at the meeting.

To: The Mayor and Councillors of Haringey Council.

Dear Sir/Madam,

A meeting of the Council of the London Borough of Haringey will be held at the Civic Centre, High Road, Wood Green, N22 8LE on MONDAY, 18TH JULY, 2011 at 19:30 HRS, to transact the following business:

AGENDA

- 1. TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
- 2. TO ASK THE MAYOR TO CONSIDER THE ADMISSION OF ANY LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 100B OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972**
- 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

A member with a personal interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the authority at which the matter is considered must disclose to that meeting the existence and nature

of that interest at the commencement of that consideration, or when the interest becomes apparent.

A member with a personal interest in a matter also has a prejudicial interest in that matter if the interest is one which a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the member's judgment of the public interest and if this interest affects their financial position or the financial position of a person or body as described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct and/or if it relates to the determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to them or any person or body described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct.

- 4. TO APPROVE AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 23 MAY 2011 (PAGES 1 - 6)**
- 5. TO RECEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE MAYOR MAY LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL**
- 6. TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE**
- 7. TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER AND HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICES**
- 8. TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES**
- 9. TO CONSIDER REQUESTS TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS AND/OR PETITIONS AND, IF APPROVED, TO RECEIVE THEM**
- 10. HARINGEY DEBATE - "HEALTH INEQUALITIES"**

To consider how and what the Council can do to tackle health inequalities whilst seeking to embed public health work generally in all aspects of the Council's work.

- 11. TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF ANY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NOS. 9 & 10**

ORAL QUESTION 1 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR BRABAZON:

With the continued proliferation of betting shops on our local streets, does the cabinet member welcome the recent Select Committee inquiry into the 2005 gambling act, particularly around it looking into how children and vulnerable people are protected from the adverse affects of gambling?

ORAL QUESTION 2 – TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH AND ADULT SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR WINSKILL:

In light of the planned savings and possible closure of day centres planned by the administration, what discussions has the Council had with the voluntary and independent third sector to create capacity to ensure a seamless transition on day centres to best ensure continuity of provision?

ORAL QUESTION 3 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH AND ADULT SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR GIBSON:

Can the cabinet member update us on the work being done by the council to provide more support for carers based in the borough?

ORAL QUESTION 4 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITIES FROM COUNCILLOR NEWTON:

What role will local residents and the Council have in the decision on which areas of the borough will be affected by the planned reductions of five sergeants for Haringey's Safer Neighbourhood Teams?

ORAL QUESTION 5 – TO THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN FROM COUNCILLOR RICE:

Would the Deputy Leader join me in congratulating Living Under One Sun on their recent win in the Jubilee People's millions big lottery awards?

ORAL QUESTION 6 – TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITIES FROM COUNCILLOR SCHMITZ:

How will the Council continue to support the Youth Council now that funding has been withdrawn?

ORAL QUESTION 7 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH AND ADULT SERVICES FROM COUNCILLOR BULL:

Does the Cabinet member agree with me that, with the confusion and anxiety the Secretary of State for Health has brought to our local health service with his dithering on the BEH Clinical Strategy and the NHS White paper, he is not fit to be Health Secretary and the Tories still can not be trusted with our NHS?

ORAL QUESTION 8 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE AND CARBON REDUCTION FROM COUNCILLOR ENGERT:

What is the carbon footprint of the printing, ink, paper, delivery and plastic packaging of the six issues per year of Haringey People?

12. TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING BODIES (PAGES 7 - 24)

- a) Governance Review Delivery Group – Report No.1 – 2011/12
- b) Corporate Committee – Report No.1 – 2011/12
- c) Constitution Review Working Group – Report No.1 – 2011/12

13. TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13

Motion A (2011/12)

Councillor Solomon has given notice that she will move in the following terms:

“This Council is opposed:

- To the use of the Pinkham Way site as an industrial scale waste plant
and
- To the proposed relocation of Barnet Council’s waste lorry depot (which provides no advantages to Haringey’s residents)

This Council notes:

- That there needs to be a long-term solution to the waste problem
- The motion passed by the Muswell Hill, Fortis Green, Alexandra and Highgate Area Committee on 16th June 2011 against the proposals at Pinkham Way which said “That this Area Committee opposes the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) plans for a waste facility at Pinkham Way, and calls on the NLWA to drop the plans.”
- Lynne Featherstone MP and local residents’ and residents’ groups campaigns against the plans for Pinkham Way

This Council deplures:

- The secrecy with which the plan, proposal and change in land-use designations has been developed over the last two years
- The lack of communication with residents and members by Haringey Council’s Labour representatives on the North London Waste Authority (NLWA)
- Labour’s change of the land designation in November 2010, without wide consultation of local residents, which facilitated the progress of the Pinkham Way plans
- The failure of Labour members on the NLWA to object to the Pinkham Way plans and represent the interests of our community

This Council is alarmed:

- At the scale of the proposed development at Pinkham Way and likely impact on local residents and schools

This Council resolves:

- That the Leader of the Council should write to the Chief Executive of the NLWA to express councillors' concerns over the consultation and the lack of information provided to residents and to request the application be withdrawn
- To ask current and past Haringey Council members of the NLWA to detail their role in the decision-making process on the Pinkham Way development
- To reaffirm the ecological designation of Pinkham Way and provide the maximum protection
- That contrary to the process involved with the waste plan and this proposal that the interests of residents become central to decision-making”.

Motion B (2011/12)

Councillor Watson has given notice that he will move in the following terms:

Local Government Pension Scheme

“This Council Believes:

- The arbitrary 3.2% increase in employee contributions to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) announced by George Osborne will force many current members of the scheme to leave. This will have damaging consequences for them as individuals and for the future viability of the scheme itself.
- The characterisation of local government pensions as ‘gold plated’ is unjustified and in 2009/2010 the average LGPS annual pension was just £4052.
- The LGPS, being a funded scheme, can be sustainable and affordable.

This Council Resolves:

- To write to the chancellor asking he looks again at his proposals and demand the government enters into substantial dialogue with Local authorities and the trade unions to secure the future of the LGPS”.

Kevin Crompton
 Chief Executive
 River Park House
 225 High Road
 Wood Green
 London N22 8HQ

Friday, 8 July 2011

